

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,014	03/26/2004	David G. Wild	CV0330 NP	9570
26079	7590 08/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER
	YERS SQUIBB COM JARTERS PARK DRIV	THANH, QUANG D		
SKILLMAN,		E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/811,014	WILD ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang D. Thanh	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliatime periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aft Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Ince with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi ing date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth In the later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin In (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, when date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of this set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions.	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig ter than three months after the mailing da b). npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi ite of the final rejection, of filed within two month	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS			o appeal. Cilioc
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1)	consideration and/or search (see NO clow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) I will be entered and an explanation of

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: .

non-allowable claim(s).

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/811,014

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment has changed the scope of the claims requiring further consideration and/or search, for example in claim 1 adding " consists of " is a further limitation never before considered.

In response to applicant's argument that "With respect to the finality of the Action, Applicants submit that nothing they did necessitated the

In response to applicant's argument that "With respect to the finality of the Action, Applicants submit that nothing they did necessitated the new ground of rejection. The new ground rejects claims 8 and 20. Claim 8 is an original claim" and "It was not any amendment that necessitated the new ground", the examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 8 depends on claim 1, which has been amended, and thus includes amendment that necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in the Office action dated 6/16/2006. Moreover, claim 20 includes limitations in combination that have not been presented before and thus necessitated the new ground of rejection. Accordingly, the Office action dated 6/16/2006 is made final. See MPEP 706.07(a).

QUANG D. THANH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Was O. Clale